

## GENERALIZED REVERSE DERIVATIONS ON PRIME RINGS

C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY, S. MALLIKARJUNA RAO & S. VASANTHA KUMAR

Department of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we present Some results concerning to reverse derivations on prime ring  $R$  to the right generalized reverse derivations associated with a derivation  $d$  of  $R$  and a non-zero left ideal  $U$  of  $R$  which is semi prime as a ring. We prove that if  $f$  is a right generalized reverse derivation of a prime ring  $R$ ,  $U$  is an on-commutative left ideal of  $R$  and  $[x, f(x)] = 0$  or  $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$  or  $f(U) \subseteq Z$  then there exists Martindale ring of quotients  $Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $f(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R$

**KEYWORDS:** Prime Ring, Semi Prime Ring, Derivation, Generalized Derivation, Reverse Derivation, Generalized Reverse Derivation, Martindale Ring

### INTRODUCTION

Bresar [1] defined generalized derivation of rings. Hvala [5] studied the properties of generalized derivation in prime rings. I.N. Herstein [4], Bresar and Vukman [2] have introduced the concept of reverse derivation of a prime ring and studied the notation of reverse derivation and some properties of reverse derivations. Golbası [3] extended some well known results concerning derivations of prime rings to the right generalized derivations and an on-zero left ideal of a prime ring which is semi prime as a ring. K. Suvarna and D.S. Irfana [6] studied some results concerning to derivations of a prime ring to generalized derivations and an on-zero right ideal of a prime ring which is semi prime as a ring.

An additive map  $d$  from a ring  $R$  to  $R$  is called a derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ . An additive map  $d$  from a ring  $R$  to  $R$  is called a reverse derivation if  $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$  for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ . An additive mapping  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a right generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d$  from  $R$  to  $R$  such that  $f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$ , for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ . An additive mapping  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a left generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d$  from  $R$  to  $R$  such that  $f(xy) = d(x)y + yf(x)$ , for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ . An additive mapping  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a generalized derivation if it is both right and left generalized derivation.

We know that an additive mapping  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is a right generalized reverse derivation if there exists a derivation  $d$  from  $R$  to  $R$  such that  $f(xy) = f(y)x + yd(x)$ , for all  $x, y$  in  $R$  and  $f$  is a left generalized reverse derivation if there exists a derivation  $d$  from  $R$  to  $R$  such that  $f(xy) = d(y)x + yf(x)$ , for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ . Finally,  $f$  is a generalized reverse derivation of  $R$  associated with  $d$  if it is both right and left generalized reverse derivation of  $R$ . Throughout this section,  $R$  will be a prime ring of char.  $\neq 2$ ,  $U$  an on-zero left ideal of  $R$  which is semi prime as a ring,  $Z$  the center of  $R$ ,  $Q_r(R_c)$  the Martindale ring of quotients,  $C$  the extended centroid and  $R_c = R_C$  the central closure

First we prove the following Lemmas:

**Lemma1:** Let  $R$  be prime ring and  $U$  an on-zero left ideal of  $R$  which is semi prime as a ring. If  $ua=0$  ( $au=0$ ) for all  $a \in R$ , then  $u=0$ .

**Proof:** Since  $U \neq \{0\}$ , there exist an element  $u \in U$  such that  $u \neq 0$ . Consider that  $uRa \subset Ua = \{0\}$ . Since  $u \neq 0$  and  $R$  is a prime ring, we have that  $a=0$ . ■

**Lemma2:** Let  $f: R \rightarrow R_c$  be an additive map satisfying  $f(x)y = xf(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Then there exists  $q \in Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $f(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R$ .

**Proof:** we extend  $\overline{f}$  from  $R$  to  $R_c$  such that  $\overline{f}(\sum \lambda_i x_i) = \sum \lambda_i \overline{f}(x_i)$  for all  $x_i \in R$  and  $\lambda_i \in C$ . Now to show that  $\overline{f}$  is well defined, it is sufficient to prove that  $\sum \lambda_i x_i = 0$  implies  $\sum \lambda_i \overline{f}(x_i) = 0$ . Let  $U$  be a non-zero ideal in  $R$  such that  $U\lambda_i \subseteq R$  for every  $i$ . Let  $a \in U$  and we note that factors in the sum  $\sum (a\lambda_i)x_i$  lie in  $R$ . Therefore we have  $f(\sum \lambda_i x_i) = 0$  implies  $a(\sum \lambda_i \overline{f}(x_i)) = 0$ . Since this is true for all  $a \in U$ , we have  $\sum \lambda_i \overline{f}(x_i) = 0$ . By direct computation, we have  $\overline{f}(xy) = x\overline{f}(y)$ . This proves that  $\overline{f}: R_c \rightarrow R_c$  is a right  $R_c$ -module map. Hence there exists  $q \in Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $\overline{f}(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R_c$ . Since  $\overline{f}$  is an extension of  $f$ , this proves the lemma.

**Lemma3:** Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $U$  an on-zero left ideal of  $R$  which is semi prime as a ring. If  $d$  is a reverse derivation of  $R$  such that  $d(U) = 0$ , then  $d = 0$ .

**Proof:** For all  $x \in U, r \in R$ , we get,

$$0 = d(rx) = d(r)x,$$

$$\text{And so, } d(R)U = 0$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain that  $d = 0$ . ■

**Theorem1:** Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $U$  an on-zero left ideal of  $R$  which is semi prime as a ring and  $f$  a Right generalized reverse derivation of  $R$ . If  $U$  is non-commutative and  $f([x, y]) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ , then there exists  $q \in Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $f(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R$ .

**Proof:** Given that  $f([x, y]) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ .

We replace  $y$  by  $xy$  in  $f([x, y]) = 0$ , then,

$$\Rightarrow f([x, xy]) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x[x, y] + [x, x]y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x[x, y]) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f([x, y])x + [x, y]d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [x, y]d(x) = 0$$

Replace  $y$  by  $ry$  in the above equation, we get,

$$\Rightarrow [x, ry]d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (r[x, y] + [x, r]y)d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow r[x, y]d(x) + [x, r]yd(x) = 0$$

Since the first summand is zero, it is clear that

$$[x, r]yd(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in U, r \in R.$$

By replacing  $sy$ ,  $s \in R$  in place of  $y$  in the above equation, we get,

$$\Rightarrow [x, r]syd(x) = 0$$

Since  $R$  is a prime ring, we have  $Ud(x) = 0$  (OR)  $[x, r] = 0$ , for all  $x \in U, r \in R$ .

By Lemma:1, we get either  $d(x) = 0$  or  $x \in Z$ , for all  $x \in U$ .

Let  $A = \{x \in U / d(x) = 0\}$  and  $B = \{x \in U / x \in Z\}$ .

Then  $A$  and  $B$  are two additive sub groups of  $(U, +)$  such that  $U = A \cup B$ .

However, a group cannot be the union of proper sub groups. Hence either  $U = A$  or  $U = B$ . If  $U = B$ , then  $U \subset Z$ , and so,  $U$  is commutative, which contradicts the hypothesis. So, we must have  $d(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in U$ . By Lemma:3, we get,  $d = 0$ . Hence there exists  $q \in Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $f(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R$ , by Lemma: 2. ■

**Theorem 2:** Let  $R$  be a priming ring with  $\text{char} \neq 2$ ,  $U$  an on-zero left ideal of  $R$  which is semi prime as a ring and  $f$  a Right generalized reverse derivation of  $R$ . If  $U$  is non-commutative and  $[x, f(x)] = 0$ , then there exist  $sq \in Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $f(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R$ .

**Proof:** Given that  $[x, f(x)] = 0$

By linearizing  $[x, f(x)] = 0$ , we get,

$$\Rightarrow y[x, d(x)] + [x, y]d(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

We replace  $y$  by  $zy$  in (1) and using equ.(1), we obtain that,

$$\Rightarrow zy[x, d(x)] + [x, zy]d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow zy[x, d(x)] + (z[x, y] + [x, z]y)d(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -z[x, y]d(x) + z[x, y]d(x) + [x, z]yd(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [x, z]yd(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

By replacing  $y$  by  $ry$ ,  $r \in R$  in equ.(2) and since  $R$  is prime, we get,  $[x, z] = 0$  or  $Ud(x) = 0$ , for all  $x, z \in R$ . By Lemma: 1, we have either  $r[x, z] = 0$  or  $(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in U$ . By a standard argument, one of these must be held for all  $x \in U$ . The first result cannot hold, since  $U$  is non-commutative, so, the second possibility gives  $d(U) = 0$  and hence  $d = 0$ . Therefore, the proof is completed by using

**Lemma 2**

**Theorem 3:** Let  $R$  be a primring with  $\text{char.} \neq 2$ ,  $U$  an on-zero left ideal of  $R$  which is semi prime as a ring and  $f$  a Right generalized reverse derivation of  $R$ . If  $U$  is non-commutative,  $d(z) \neq 0$  and  $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ , then there exists  $q \in Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $f(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R$ .

**Proof:** From the hypothesis we have,  $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$ , for all  $x, y \in U$  ..... (3)

By taking  $xy$  instead of  $y$  in equ.(3), we get,

$$\Rightarrow [x, xy] = [f(x), f(xy)]$$

$$\Rightarrow x[x, y] + [x, x]y = [f(x), f(y)x + yd(x)]$$

$$\Rightarrow x[x, y] = f(x)(f(y)x + yd(x)) - (f(y)x + yd(x))f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow x[x, y] = f(x)f(y)x + f(x)yd(x) - f(y)xf(x) - yd(x)f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow x[x, y] = f(x)f(y)x - f(y)xf(x) + f(x)yd(x) - yd(x)f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow x[x, y] = [f(x), f(y)x] + [f(x), yd(x)]$$

$$\Rightarrow x[x, y] = f(y)[f(x), x] + [f(x), f(y)]x + y[f(x), d(x)] + [f(x), y]d(x)$$

By using equ.(3), we get,

$$\Rightarrow x[x, y] = f(y)[f(x), x] + [x, y]x + y[f(x), d(x)] + [f(x), y]d(x),$$

$$\text{For all } x, y \in U \quad \dots (4)$$

We replace  $y$  by  $cy = yc$ , where  $c \in Z$  and using equ.(4), we obtain,

$$\Rightarrow x[x, cy] = f(cy)[f(x), x] + [x, cy]x + cy[f(x), d(x)] + [f(x), cy]d(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow x(c[x, y] + [x, c]y) = (f(y)c + yd(c))[f(x), x] + (c[x, y] + [x, c]y)x + cy[f(x), d(x)] + (c[f(x), y] + [f(x), c]y)d(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow xc[x, y] + x[x, c]y = f(y)c[f(x), x] + yd(c)[f(x), x] + c[x, y]x + [x, c]yx + cy[f(x), d(x)] + c[f(x), y]d(x) + [f(x), c]y$$

Since  $c$  is commutative, we have,

$$\Rightarrow cx[x, y] + x[x, c]y = cf(y)[f(x), x] + yd(c)[f(x), x] + c[x, y]x + [x, c]yx + cy[f(x), d(x)] + c[f(x), y]d(x) + [f(x), c]yd(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow cx[x, y] + x[x, c]y = cf(y)[f(x), x] + c[x, y]x + cy[f(x), d(x)] + c[f(x), y]d(x) + [x, c]yx + [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

$$\Rightarrow cx[x, y] + x[x, c]y = c(f(y)[f(x), x] + [x, y]x + y[f(x), d(x)] + [f(x), y]d(x)) + [x, c]yx + [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

From equ.(4), we have,

$$\Rightarrow cx[x, y] + x[x, c]y = cx[x, y] + [x, c]yx + [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

$$\Rightarrow x[x, c]y = [x, c]yx + [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

$$\Rightarrow x(xc - cx)y = (xc - cx)yx + [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

$$\Rightarrow x(xc - xc)y = (xc - xc)yx + [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = 0 + [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = [f(x), c]yd(x) + yd(c)[f(x), x]$$

Since  $d(z) \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 \neq d(c) \in Z \Rightarrow d(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in U$

$$\Rightarrow yd(c)[f(x), x] = 0$$

Since  $d(z) \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 \neq d(c) \in Z$  and  $U$  is a non-zero left ideal of  $R$ , we have,

$$\Rightarrow [f(x), x] = 0, \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

The proof is now completed by using Theorem 2. ■

**Theorem 4:** Let  $R$  be a prime ring with  $\text{char} R \neq 2$ ,  $U$  a non-zero left ideal of  $R$  which is semi-prime satisfying and  $f$  a right generalized reverse derivation of a ring  $R$ . If  $U$  is non-commutative and  $f(U) \subseteq Z$ , then there exists  $q \in Q_r(R_c)$  such that  $f(x) = qx$ , for all  $x \in R$ .

**Proof:** For all  $x \in R$ , we have,  $[f(yx), y] = 0$

So,  $[f(yx), y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in U$

$$\Rightarrow [f(x)y + xd(y), y] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x[d(y), y] + [x, y]d(y) = 0$$

By expanding this equation, we get,

$$\Rightarrow x(d(y)y - yd(y)) + (xy - yx)d(y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xd(y)y - xyd(y) + xyd(y) - yxd(y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xd(y)y - yxd(y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow xd(y)y = yxd(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in U \quad \dots (5)$$

We replace  $x$  by  $xz$  in equ. (5), we get,

$$\Rightarrow xzd(y)y = yxzd(y)$$

By using equ. (5) in the above equation, we get,

$$\Rightarrow yxzd(y) = x(zd(y)y)$$

$$\Rightarrow yxzd(y) = xyzd(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow xyzd(y) - yxzd(y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (xy - yx)zd(y) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [x, y]zd(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U$$

By taking  $zr$  instead of  $z$  in the above equation and using the fact that  $R$  is prime, we conclude that  $d(y) = 0$  or  $[x, y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ . By the standard argument, we have either  $d = 0$  or  $U$  is commutative. Since,  $U$  is non-commutative, the proof is completed by using Lemma 2. ■

## REFERENCES

1. Brešar. Mon the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, Glasgow Math. J. 33(1991), 89-93.
2. Brešar. M. and Vukman. J On some additive mappings in rings with involution, Aequationes Math. 38(1989), 178-185.
3. Golbasi. O. On left ideal so f prime rings with generalized derivations, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. 34 (2005), 27-32.
4. Herstein. I. N. Jordan derivation so f prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1104-1110.
5. Hvala. B. Generalized derivations in prime rings, Comm. Algebra. 26(1998), 1147-1166.
6. Suvarna. K. and Irfana. D.S. On right ideals of prime rings with left generalized derivations, Pacific-Asian Journal of Mathematics, Vol.4, Jan-June (2010), 21-27.